# Outcome and Pragmatic Approaches for Refractory & Super-Refractory Status Epilepticus

Chin-Wei Huang, MD, PhD, CSCN Diplomate (EEG), FAES Director and Professor, Department of Neurology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan President, Taiwan Epilepsy Society



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

# Status epilepticus (SE)

- A neurological emergency that has significant disability, high morbidity, and mortality rates up to 20%
- **Refractory** status epilepticus (**R**SE): in 23–50% of cases, SE does not respond to the first- and second-line medications, systemic anesthetics are the treatment of choice for **R**SE
- Super-refractory SE (SRSE): defined as status epilepticus (SE) that continues or recurs 24hours or more after the onset of anesthetic therapy or recurs on the reduction/withdrawal of anesthesia



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University EST 2024 Trinka and Leitinger, 2022, Continuum; Cornwall et al., 2023, JAMA Neurol

#### **Comparison of Refractory and Super-Refractory Status Epilepticus (1)**

Criteria	RSE	SRSE
Definition	Seizures persist despite administration of first and second- line anti-seizure medications.	Seizures continue for 24 hours or more despite the use of anesthetic agents or recur upon their withdrawal.
Morbidity and Mortality	Associated with high morbidity and mortality; rates vary based on underlying etiology and patient demographics.	<b>Even higher morbidity and mortality</b> rates compared to RSE due to prolonged seizure activity and treatment resistance.
Long-term Cognitive Effects	Significant long-term cognitive impairments, including memory deficits and decreased executive function.	Often results in <b>worse neurological outcomes</b> , including severe disability, even if seizures are controlled.
Neurological Outcomes	Prolonged seizures can lead to permanent neurological damage, including encephalopathy and chronic epilepsy.	Similar to RSE, with additional challenges due to longer duration and more resistant nature of seizures.



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University EST 2024

Trinka and Leitinger, 2022, Continuum

## mechanisms involved in the transition of status epilepticus



Betjemann and Lowenstein, Lancet Neurol. 2015; Chen and Wasterlain. Lancet Neurol. 2006 AMPAR & surrounding microenviron ment in the adults and elderly





國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University 8

Huang et al., 2024, Archives of Geriatrics and Gerontology <sup>EST</sup> (accepted in revision)

### The process of management of status epilepticus





國立成功大學醫學院

College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University EST 2024

Trinka and Leitinger, 2022, Continuum

	Stear 1. stear of early status enclantions (0, 10/20 min)
Taiwan apilopay	Stage 1: stage of early status epilepticus (0-10/30 min)
Tarwan ephepsy	Lorazepam (initial 4 mg slow 1 v push, may repeat once)
	Midazolam (initial 10 mg IM, may repeat once)
guidelines $(2023)$	Diazepam (10 mg slow IV push, may repeat once)
8 ( /	Stage 2: stage of established status epilepticus (10/30-60/90 min)
	Phenytoin (initial 15-20 mg/kg IV infusion at 50 mg/min,
	additional Phenytoin 5-10 mg/kg/day IV infusion at 50-75 mg/min)
	Valproic acid (initial 15-30 mg/kg IV infusion at 3-6 mg/kg/min, maintain dose 20-30 mg/kg/day IV infusion)
	Levetiracetam (initial 30-60 mg/kg IV infusion, maintain dose 2000-4000 mg/day IV infusion)
	Lacosamide (200-400 mg IV rapid loading dose over 3-5 min, followed by a daily dose of 200-400 mg)
	Brivaracetam (200 mg IV rapid loading dose over 3-5 min, followed by 100 mg Q12H IV infusion)
	Stage 3: stage of refractory status epilepticus (> 60/90 min)
	Midazolam (0.1-0.3 mg/kg at 4 mg/min IV bolus, followed by a continuous IV infusion of 0.05-0.4 mg/kg/hr)
	Phenobarbital (10-20 mg/kg IV infusion at 100 mg/min, maintain dose 1-3
	mg/kg/day)
	Propofol (1-2mg/kg IV bolus, followed by a continuous IV infusion of 5-10
and a second	mg/kg/hr)
	Pentobarbital (10-20 mg/kg at 25 mg/min IV bolus, followed by a continuous iv
	infusion of 0.5-3 mg/kg/hr)
	Thiopental (100-250 mg IV bolus over 20 s. followed by a continuous IV infusion
	of 3-5 mg/kg/hr)
	of 3-5 mg/kg/hr) * 較後期 (如stage2或stage3) 之處置應包含較前期 (stage1或stage1與2) 之處置
國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine National	of 3-5 mg/kg/hr) * 較後期(如stage2或stage3)之處置應包含較前期(stage1或stage1與2)之處置 * 粗體字型為一般較為建議或方便換算的劑型、劑量。

2024/7/18







國立成功大學醫學院

國立成功大学國子院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University EST 2024

Trinka and Leitinger, 2022, Continuum

Criteria	RSE	SRSE
Treatment Strategies	Benzodiazepines followed by ASMs like phenytoin or levetiracetam.	Requires more complex treatment strategies, including continuous use of anesthetic agents, ketogenic diet, immunotherapy, and surgical interventions.
In-hospital Mortality	Varies but generally lower compared to SRSE.	High in-hospital mortality; studies report rates of around 24.1%.
Duration of Seizures	Persistent seizures despite first and second-line treatments.	Mean duration of <u>36.3 days</u> with treatment often continuing beyond <u>28</u> days.
Prognostic Factors	Outcomes influenced by factors like age, etiology, and response to initial treatment.	Prognostic factors such as age and etiology are less predictive; longer treatment duration associated with higher chances of seizure cessation but increased risk of severe disability.
Etiologies	Can include a wide range of causes, often linked to acute symptomatic events, metabolic disturbances, or chronic epilepsy.	More likely to have acute or unknown etiologies; lower incidence of remote symptomatic causes and known epilepsy compared to RSE.



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University 18 EST 2024

Trinka and Leitinger, 2022, Continuum

Comparison of RSE and SRSE (2)

#### Consensus of 8th London-Innsbruck Colloquium on SE for 1st non-BZD

Recommendations for nonbenzodiazepine medication trial.	medication: initial	doses, incremental	l doses, and maxin	num doses for a	diagnostic intraveno	us antiseizure
choice	Levetiracetam 1	Valproate 1	Lacosamide 1	Brivaracetam 1	Fosphenytoin <sup>a</sup> 2	Phenobarbital <sup>b</sup> 3
Starting dose	40 mg/kg	30mg/kg	6mg/kg	4mg/kg	15mg/kg	10mg/kg
Administration time	5 min	5 min	10 min	5 min	10 – 15 min(maximum 150 mg/min)	15+ min
With additional boluses up to a maximum dose of (whichever is lower):						
Maximum total loading dose, weight based	60 mg/kg	40 mg/kg	8 mg/kg	6 mg/kg	20 mg PE/kg	20 mg/kg
Maximum total loading dose, absolute	4500 mg	3000 mg	600 mg	450 mg	1500 mg PE	1500
Special measures			ECG mo	nitoring		

Abbreviations: PE, phenytoin sodium equivalent.

<sup>a</sup>Phenytoin can be used where fosphenytoin is not available, at a maximum rate of 50 mg/min.

<sup>b</sup>In countries where only phenobarbital is available.



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University 8 Leitinger M et al. Epilepsia, 2023





國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Status Epilepticus - Three Anticonvulsants Head-to-Head - ESETT RCT – Journal Peed Last accessed: May 2024 But whether this is also true for RSE is unknown.

Vossler et al., 2020, Epilepsy Currents

#### Hsieh et al., 2010, Clin Neuropharmacol.





國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

Treatment	Description	Efficacy	Reference	
Ketamine	NMDA receptor antagonist	varied efficacy, with some studies indicating it can be effective in terminating seizures in SRSE. 40-60% of patients showed positive responses, though it requires further validation.	Neurological Research and Practice, 2024	An overview of the efficacy of various
Ketogenic Diet	High-fat, low- carbohydrate diet designed to mimic fasting state and produce ketones.	has been found to be effective in 50-70% of cases, particularly in pediatric patients. It can reduce seizure frequency and intensity.	Frontiers in Neurology, 2023	alternative treatments for SRSE,
Immunotherapy	Treatments like corticosteroids, IV immunoglobulins, and plasmapheresis to address autoimmune causes of SRSE.	Can be effective in SRSE due to autoimmune encephalitis or other immune-related etiologies. 30-50% response rate depending on the underlying cause.	JAMA Neurology, 2023	highlighting both their potential benefits and
Responsive Neurostimulation (RNS)	Implantable device that monitors brain activity and delivers electrical stimulation to prevent seizures.	In a small case series, 70% of patients with focal SRSE experienced resolution of seizures after RNS implantation. This is considered a promising but still experimental approach.	Journal of Neurosurgery, 2023	limitations



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University 8 EST 2024

Treatment	Description	Efficacy	Reference	<b>A</b>
Vagus Nerve Stimulation (VNS)	Device implanted to stimulate the vagus nerve, which can help reduce seizure frequency and intensity.	Has shown efficacy in reducing seizures in about 50-60% of SRSE patients. It is less invasive than RNS.	JAMA Neurology, 2023	An overview of the efficacy of various alternative
Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS)	Surgical treatment involving the implantation of electrodes in specific brain areas to modulate neural activity.	Has shown effectiveness in a subset of patients with SRSE, particularly those with well-localized seizure foci. However, it is highly invasive and considered when other treatments fail.	Brain, 2011	treatments for SRSE, highlighting
High-dose Barbiturates	Continuous infusion of barbiturates like pentobarbital to induce a coma and control seizures.	High-dose barbiturates can be effective in controlling seizures in SRSE, but they come with significant risks, including hypotension and immunosuppression.	Epilepsia, 2019	both their potential benefits and
Magnesium Sulfate	Administered in cases of eclampsia or suspected magnesium deficiency-related seizures.	Limited data suggest that magnesium sulfate can be beneficial in specific contexts such as eclampsia. It is not broadly effective for all cases of SRSE.	Crit Care Clin, 2023	limitations



### A 29 year-old female with SRSE Etiology: Multiple arteriovenous fistulas at bilateral hemispheres



### **Present Illness and Management**



sigmoid sinus significantly beneficial in reducing the seizures

國立成功大學醫學院

College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

### healthcare

#### Article Status Epilepticus Mortality Risk Factors and a Correlation Survey with the Newly Modified STESS

Tzu-Hsin Huang<sup>1</sup>, Ming-Chi Lai<sup>2</sup>, Yu-Shiue Chen<sup>1</sup> and Chin-Wei Huang<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan; oxlesson@gmail.com (T.-H.H.); snow700709@gmail.com (Y.-S.C.)

MDPI

- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Chi-Mei Medical Center, Tainan 70101, Taiwan; vickylai621@gmail.com
- \* Correspondence: huangcw@mail.ncku.edu.tw; Tel.: +886-6-2353535-5485; Fax: +886-6-2374285

Abstract: Background: Status epilepticus (SE) is a neurological emergency and is usually associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates. Several clinical scales have been proposed to predict the clinical outcome of such incidents, including the Status Epilepticus Severity Score (STESS), the modified STESS (mSTESS), and the Encephalitis-Nonconvulsive Status Epilepticus-Diazepam Resistance-Image Abnormalities-Tracheal intubation (END-IT). Nevertheless, there is still a need for a more practical and precise predictive scale. Methods: This is a retrospective cohort study which examines data from patients with SE in our Department of Neurology between 2009 and 2020. Based on the outcome of each case, the patients were divided into survivor and non-survivor groups. We analyzed the independent factors and adjusted the STESS to achieve a better prediction of prognosis. The predictive accuracy of our new STESS scale was then compared with that of the mSTESS and the END-IT. Results: Data on a total of 59 patients were collected, with 6 of them classified as non-



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University EST 2024

#### **Components of Newly Modified STESS (nSTESS)**

Clinical Features	Score
Consciousness	
Alert or somnolent/confused	0
Stuporous or comatose	1
Worst seizure type	
Simple-partial, complex-partial, absence, myoclonic	0
Generalized-convulsive	1
Nonconvulsive status epilepticus in coma	2
Age	
<65 years old	0
≥65 years old	2
History of previous seizures	
Yes	0
Not or unknown	1
Use of thiobarbiturate	
Yes	1
No	0
Numbers of used AEDs within 1st week	
≤2	0
3	1
≥4	2
Total	0–9 17

Characteristics	Survivors (N = 53)	Non-Survivors ( <i>N</i> = 6)	All	<i>p</i> -Value
Age–year (mean ± SD)	$55.04 \pm 21.33$	$56.67 \pm 18.98$	$55.20 \pm 20.95$	0.859
Male sex–no. (%)	32 (60.4%)	4 (66.7%)	36 (61%)	0.769
Underlying diseases				
Meningioencephalitis–no. (%)	8 (15.09%)	0 (0%)	8 (13.6%)	0.583
Intracranial hemorrhage–no. (%)	15 (28.3%)	3 (50%)	18 (30.51%)	0.357
Seizure types				0.115
Focal impaired awareness	29 (54.72%)	4 (66.67%)		
Focal to generalized	4 (7.55%)	2 (33.33%)		
Generalized	18 (33.96%)	0 (0%)		
Nonconvulsive status epilepticus	2 (3.77%)	0 (0%)		
Categories of AEDs	21 (EQ 400/)	2 (50%)	24 (59 629/)	0.606
Levetiracetam_no. (%)	38 (71 7%)	6 (100%)	4 (75 86%)	0.050
Phenytoin-no (%)	22 (41 51%)	3 (50%)	25 (43 1%)	0.137
Topiramate-no (%)	11 (20 75%)	3 (50%)	14 (24 14%)	0.114
Lacosamide-no. (%)	1 (1.89%)	1 (16,67%)	2 (3.45%)	0.418
Perampanel–no. (%)	5 (9.43%)	2 (33.33%)	7 (12.07%)	0.313
Numbers of AEDs used in 1st				0.016
week				0.016
1	15 (28.3%)	0 (0%)	15 (25.86%)	
2	25 (47.17%)	2 (33.34%)	27 (46.55%)	
3	10 (18.87%)	2 (33.34%)	12 (20.69%)	
4	2 (3.77%)	2 (33.34%)	4 (6.9%)	
5	1 (1.89%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.72%)	
Continuous infusion of sedatives				
Midazolam–no. (%)	31 (58.49%)	4 (66.67%)	35 (60.34%)	0.530
Propofol–no. (%)	4 (7.55%)	2 (33.34%)	6 (10.34%)	0.108
Thiobarbiturate-no. (%)	0 (0%)	2 (33.34%)	2 (3.45%)	0.009
STESS				0.117
0	1 (1.89%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.72%)	
1	10 (18.87%)	0 (0%)	10 (17.24%)	
2	16 (30.19%)	2 (33.34%)	18 (31.03%)	
3	19 (35.85%)	1 (16.67%)	20 (34.48%)	
4	5 (9.43%)	3 (50%)	8 (13.79%)	
5	2 (3.72%) 2024	0 (0%)	2 (3.45%)	18
	CharacteristicsAge-year (mean ± SD)Male sex-no. (%)Underlying diseasesMeningioencephalitis-no. (%)Intracranial hemorrhage-no. (%)Seizure typesFocal impaired awarenessFocal to generalizedGeneralizedCategories of AEDsValproic acid-no. (%)Levetiracetam-no. (%)Phenytoin-no. (%)Phenytoin-no. (%)Perampanel-no. (%)Numbers of AEDs used in 1stweek12345Continuous infusion of sedativesMidazolam-no. (%)Propofol-no. (%)Thiobarbiturate-no. (%)STESS012345	Characteristics Survivors (N = 53)   Age-year (mean ± SD) 55.04 ± 21.33   Male sex-no. (%) 32 (60.4%)   Underlying diseases Main sex-no. (%)   Meningioencephalitis-no. (%) 8 (15.09%)   Intracranial hemorrhage-no. (%) 15 (28.3%)   Seizure types Seizure types   Focal inpaired awareness 29 (54.72%)   Focal to generalized 4 (7.55%)   Generalized 18 (33.96%)   Nonconvulsive status epilepticus 2 (3.77%)   Categories of AEDs Valproic acid-no. (%)   Valproic acid-no. (%) 31 (58.49%)   Levetiracetam-no. (%) 11 (20.75%)   Lacosamide-no. (%) 1 (1.89%)   Perampanel-no. (%) 5 (9.43%)   Numbers of AEDs used in 1st week 1   1 15 (28.3%)   2 25 (47.17%)   3 10 (18.87%)   4 2 (3.77%)   5 1 (1.89%)   Propofol-no. (%) 31 (58.49%)   Propofol-no. (%) 4 (7.55%)   Thiobarbiturate-no. (%) 0 (0%)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Huang et al. Healthcare. 2021

Capacity of the new scale (nSTESS) versus the STESS, mSTESS, and END-IT to predict mortality.

CI, confidence interval; nSTESS, newly modified Status Epilepticus Severity Score; mSTESS, modified Status Epilepticus Severity Score; NPV, negative predictive value; OR, odds ratio; PPV, positive predictive value; STESS, Status Epilepticus Severity Score; END-IT, Encephalitis- NCSE-Diazepam Resistance-Image Abnormalities-Tracheal Intubation.	Scale	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	<b>PPV</b> (%)	NPV (%)	Overall Accuracy (%)	OR (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> -Value
	STESS≥3	66.70%	50.90%	13.30%	93.10%	69.20%	2.077 (0.35– 12.325)	0.352
	mSTESS≥4	50.00%	56.60%	11.50%	90.90%	56.60%	$1.304 \\ (0.241 - \\ 7.069)$	0.543
	END-IT≥3	50.00%	66.00%	14.30%	92.10%	57.70%	$1.944 \\ (0.356 - \\ 10.625)$	0.361
	nSTESS≥4	83.30%	77.40%	29.40%	97.60%	86.80%	17.083 (1.816– 160.683)	0.006
國立成功大	學醫學院					Huana	rotal Haalth	00r0 0001

College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

A

Huang et al. Healthcare, 2021

	Epilepsy & Beha	vior 140 (2023) 109110					
ELSEVIER	Contents lists av Epilepsy journal homepage: ww	ailable at ScienceDirect	sy avior				
Clinical scores ar in status epilepti Simona Lattanzi <sup>a,*</sup> , Eu <sup>a</sup> Neurological Clinic, Department of <sup>b</sup> Department of Neurology, Christian <sup>c</sup> Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Jo <sup>d</sup> Public Health, Health Services Rese <sup>c</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Biome <sup>f</sup> Division of Neurology, "Franz Tappu <sup>s</sup> Neurology Unit, OCB Hospital, AOU <sup>b</sup> Department of Biomedical, Metabo	nd clusters for prediction cus ugen Trinka <sup>b,c,d</sup> , Francesco Br Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Marche Polyn Doppler Klinik, Paracelsus Medical University, Si Salzburg, Austria arch and HTA, University for Health Sciences, Me edicine and Movement Science, University of Vera einer" Hospital, Merano (BZ), Italy Modena, Modena, Italy lic and Neural Science, Center for Neuroscience and	on of outcomes igo <sup>e,f</sup> , Stefano Meletti <sup>g</sup> technic University, Ancona, Italy alzburg, Austria dical Informatics and Technology, Hall na, Italy	g,h I i.T, Austria dena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy				
	Prognostic scores of in-hospital r	nortality in status epileptic	cus.				
	Predictors of outcome in sco	oring systems					
	Status Epilepticus Severity Score (STESS) [Rossetti et al. 2008]	Modified STESS (mSTESS) [González- Cuevas et al. 2016]	Newly Modified STESS (nSTESS) [Huang et al. 2021]	Epider in Stat et al. 2	niology-based Mortality score us Epilepticus (EMSE) [Leitinger 2015]	Risk score predictive of in status epilepticus [Ti et al. 2018]	mortality amkao
	Level of consciousness Worst seizure type Age History of previous seizures	Level of consciousness Worst seizure type Age History of previous seizures Baseline disability (modified Rankin Scale)	Level of consciousness Worst seizure type Age History of previous seizures Use of thiobarbiturate Number of antiseizure medicipes used within the	Aetiolo Age Comor EEG	bidities	Age Comorbidities Complications of SE	
-112-49/7/18			first week				20



## Conclusion

- Recent advancements in the understanding of status epilepticus have led to more accurate diagnoses, earlier interventions, and enhanced cerebral imaging of its effects.
- While the introduction of various alternative treatments for SRSE shows promise, further validation and research are necessary to tailor these therapies effectively.
- Outcome prediction serves as a practical tool for estimating the need for intensive care resources.
- Despite the high rates of successful treatment, the chances of surviving SRSE with only minor disabilities remain low, even with prolonged treatment.



國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University ST 2024



111 2200

國立成功大學醫學院 College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University

10

中